IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 15540 of 2004

Between:

Smt. Uppada Bharathi W/o Ramaiah
R/o Kotabommali Village & Mandal, Srikakulam District

... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep by Principal

Secretary, Dept. of Revenue Secretariat of A.P.
Hyderabad
2 The District Collector Srikakulam, Srikakulam District
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kotabommali Mandal,

Srikakulam District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, or direction or order more particularly one in the nature of WRit of Mandmaus declaring the action of the respondents in not making reference in respect of the claims relating to fair compensation, solatium and interest payable for acquired land in Sy. No. 268/8, kotabommali Village and mandal, Srikakulam District, under the Award NO. 13, dated 30-12-1983 and the supplemental Award No. 17/84-85, dt 10-3-1985 as arbitrary, illegal and unjust and consequently direct the respondents to make a reference for determination thereto in the light of the

proceedings R.C. No. 249/93, dt 16-7-2001 of the 3rd respondent and the proceedings RC. No. 381/02 SWLA DT 27-1-2003 of the 2nd Respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.R.K.SURI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the award copy has so far not been furnished to the petitioner. It is nowhere stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Writ Petition that the award copy has not been furnished to the petitioner. If that were the case, it is open for the petitioner to obtain the award copy as and when the award copy is served as contemplated under Section 12(2) of the Land Acquisition Act.

2. Petitioner is at liberty to seek appropriate remedies as are available under law. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

A. **GOPAL REDDY, J**

August 31, 2004

MRR/MURTHY

To:

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep by Principal

Secretary, Dept. of Revenue Secretariat of A.P.
Hyderabad
2 The District Collector Srikakulam, Srikakulam District
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kotabommali Mandal,

Srikakulam District.

4 Two C.C. s to the G.P for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two C.D. Copies.